

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,493 08/22/2003		08/22/2003	Maria Ronay	YOR920030195US1	8744
30678	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER		
		E LODGE & HUT	MULLER, BRYAN R		
SUITE 800 1990 M ST	REET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425				3723	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
ĸ

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/645,493		RONAY, MARIA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bryan R. Muller	3723	

		1101011, 110 4101				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bryan R. Muller	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 September 2005</u>. months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFF dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has be 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 	R 41.37(a)), or any extension therec	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non allowable claim(s)		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1 116(e)					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance decause:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	o. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Jank	J. Hail, III			
·		Supervisory	Patent Examiner y Center 3700			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Molnar reference clearly discloses a polishing pad embodiment that provides solid libricant particles within a polymeric matrix and teaches that it is advantageous to provide abrasive particles in a slurry for such embodiment.